

ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF CEFMINOX  
AGAINST ANAEROBES

KUNITOMO WATANABE, KAKUYO SAWA, MIDORI BUNAI and KAZUE UENO

The Institute of Anaerobic Bacteriology, Gifu University School of Medicine,  
40 Tsukasa-Machi, Gifu-Shi, Gifu 500, Japan

(Received for publication February 9, 1985)

The antibacterial activity of cefminox (CMNX) against anaerobic bacteria was studied *in vitro*. The results are as follows: 1. CMNX exerted antibacterial activity against a wide range of anaerobes, excluding *Clostridium innocuum*. The antibacterial activity of CMNX against *Bacteroides fragilis* was comparable to that of latamoxef and superior to cefoxitin, but CMNX's activity against anaerobic cocci was slightly inferior to cefoxitin's; 2. A comparison of the MICs and MBCs of CMNX indicated that this drug exerts a complete bactericidal effect at a concentration which inhibits the growth of bacteria; 3. CMNX was found to be stable to the  $\beta$ -lactamases produced by *B. fragilis*; 4. CMNX exerted an antibacterial activity against *C. difficile*.

Cefminox (CMNX) is a new cephamycin antibiotic. It has been known that this agent shows antibacterial activity against various aerobic Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Its activity is especially higher than conventional cephamycins against such Gram-negative bacteria as *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus* and *Serratia marcescens*. Moreover, CMNX is as stable as conventional cephamycins to the actions of the  $\beta$ -lactamases produced by these bacteria.<sup>1,2)</sup> However, with regard to the antibacterial activity of CMNX against anaerobic bacteria, only *Bacteroides fragilis* has been studied as a test anaerobe.

This paper reports the results of studies on the antibacterial activity of CMNX against anaerobic bacteria in comparison with latamoxef, cefoxitin, cefmenoxime and ceftizoxime, and also on the stabilities of these antibiotics to the actions of  $\beta$ -lactamases produced by *B. fragilis*.

### Materials and Methods

#### Bacterial Strains

Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria maintained in the Institution's culture collection and those isolated from clinical specimens were employed in the present study.

#### Tested Antibiotics

Cefminox (CMNX; 772  $\mu$ g/mg; Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd.). Cefoxitin (CFX; 936.5  $\mu$ g/mg; Nippon Merck-Banyu Co., Ltd.). Cefmenoxime (CMX; 958  $\mu$ g/mg; Takeda Pharm. Co., Ltd.). Ceftizoxime (CZX; 863  $\mu$ g/mg; Fujisawa Pharm. Co., Ltd.). Latamoxef (LMOX; 924  $\mu$ g/mg; Shionogi Pharm. Co., Ltd.).

#### Susceptibility Test Method

The susceptibility of the anaerobes to the drugs was determined according to the method established by the Investigation Committee of MIC Determination for Anaerobes, Japan Society of Chemotherapy.<sup>3)</sup> The MIC determination plate medium was prepared using GAM agar (Nissui), to which menadione was added at 10  $\mu$ g/ml. Menadione was added at 0.1  $\mu$ g/ml to GAM bouillon (Nissui), and this liquid medium was used for overnight culture of the anaerobes. Anaerobic culturing was carried out in an anaerobic glove box (CO<sub>2</sub> 10%; H<sub>2</sub> 10%; N<sub>2</sub> 80%).

#### Determination of Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

Anaerobes were cultured in the GAM liquid medium at 37°C for 24 hours. The cultures were then diluted and inoculated at a final cell concentration of  $10^4 \sim 10^5$  cfu/ml to the fresh GAM liquid medium containing a test antibiotic at various concentrations. After culturing at 37°C for 24 hours, the MIC was determined. One loopful of each culture (ca. 10  $\mu$ l) was spread onto GAM plates containing no antibiotics, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The MBC was defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration in the GAM liquid medium in which a test anaerobe had been cultured and showed no growth on the antibiotic-free GAM plate.

#### Stability to $\beta$ -Lactamase

Preparation of Crude Enzyme Solution: Five strains of *B. fragilis* were cultured in GAM bouillon, and the log-phase cells (6-hour culture) were collected. The cells were then sonicated and centrifuged (at 15,000 rpm for 60 minutes), and the resulting supernatants were used as crude enzyme solutions.

Antibiotics Tested: The following antibiotics were compared for stability to the actions of the above  $\beta$ -lactamases. Cefminox, cefazolin (CEZ), cefpiramide (CPM), cefoperazone (CPZ), ceftazidime (CAZ), cefotaxime (CTX) and cefoxitin.

Determination of  $\beta$ -Lactamase Activity: Macroiodometry<sup>4)</sup> was employed.

### Results

#### Antibacterial Spectrum

Tables 1 and 2 present the results of studies on the anaerobic antibacterial spectrum of CMNX in comparison with LMOX, CMX, CZX and CFX.

CMNX inhibited the growth of all 50 reference strains of 35 species — except for the one strain of *Clostridium innocuum* ATCC 14501 — at a concentration of 6.25  $\mu$ g/ml at a starting cell density of  $10^6$  cfu/ml, and at 12.5  $\mu$ g/ml at  $10^8$  cfu/ml. CMNX was thus found to have a broad antibacterial spectrum covering a wide range of anaerobes.

When comparison was made of the antibacterial activities of CMNX and the other antibiotics, CMNX's activity against *B. fragilis* strains was inferior to LMOX but superior to CFX. However, against all other strains, CMNX's antibacterial activity was superior to the activities of LMOX and CFX.

#### Distribution of Susceptibility of Clinical Isolates to Antibiotics

The distributions of the susceptibility of the clinical isolates to CMNX and the other control antibiotics are compiled in Tables 3~11.

The MIC values of CMNX for 27 strains of *B. fragilis* ranged from 0.78 to 3.13  $\mu$ g/ml at a starting cell density of both  $10^6$  and  $10^8$  cfu/ml; the MIC distribution peak occurred at 0.78  $\mu$ g/ml. When the susceptibility of *B. fragilis* strains to CMNX was compared to their susceptibility to the other antibiotics, the order was LMOX > CMNX > CZX > CMX > CFX at  $10^6$  cfu/ml, while it was LMOX > CMNX > CFX > CMX > CZX at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

The MIC values of CMNX for 9 strains of *B. melaninogenicus* ranged — except for one strain — from 0.10 to 0.78  $\mu$ g/ml when a starting cell density of  $10^6$  cfu/ml was employed, while it ranged — except for one strain — from 0.10 to 1.56  $\mu$ g/ml when  $10^8$  cfu/ml was employed. When a comparison was made among the antibiotics with regard to the susceptibility of *B. melaninogenicus*, the order was CZX > LMOX > CMX > CMNX = CFX at  $10^6$  cfu/ml, while it was CZX > CMX > CMNX = LMOX = CFX at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

Table 1. The activity of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics against reference strains of anaerobes. 1.

Organism	CMNX		LMOX		CMX		CZX		CFX	
	10 <sup>6</sup> *	10 <sup>8</sup> *	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>
<i>P. magnus</i> ATCC 29328	0.05	0.78	0.39	3.13	≤0.025	0.78	0.20	6.25	0.10	0.39
<i>P. asaccharolyticus</i> WAL 3218	0.20	0.39	0.20	0.20	0.05	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.20
<i>P. anaerobius</i> ATCC 27337	0.20	3.13	1.56	3.13	≤0.025	0.39	0.20	0.20	0.78	0.78
<i>S. parvulus</i> VPI 0546	0.20	0.20	3.13	6.25	≤0.025	0.39	0.20	0.39	1.56	1.56
<i>S. intermedius</i> ATCC 27335	6.25	12.5	12.5	12.5	0.05	0.10	0.39	0.39	6.25	6.25
<i>S. constellatus</i> ATCC 27823	6.25	12.5	12.5	25	≤0.025	0.20	0.78	1.56	6.25	6.25
<i>S. mutans</i> ATCC 25175	3.13	6.25	3.13	3.13	≤0.025	0.05	0.05	0.10	1.56	1.56
<i>E. limosum</i> ATCC 8486	0.20	0.20	6.25	6.25	≤0.025	0.05	3.13	6.25	0.78	0.78
<i>E. cylindroides</i> ATCC 27803	≤0.025	50	6.25	6.25	≤0.025	1.56	0.78	1.56	0.20	6.25
<i>E. plauti</i> VPI 0310	0.20	6.25	0.78	6.25	≤0.025	1.56	3.13	6.25	1.56	6.25
<i>E. plauti</i> VPI 0311	6.25	12.5	1.56	6.25	1.56	12.5	6.25	>100	6.25	12.5
<i>P.* acnes</i> ATCC 11827	≤0.025	0.39	≤0.025	0.39	≤0.025	0.05	≤0.025	0.05	≤0.025	0.10
<i>P. acnes</i> ATCC 11828	≤0.025	0.78	≤0.025	3.13	≤0.025	0.20	≤0.025	0.20	≤0.025	0.39
<i>C. sordellii</i> ATCC 9714	0.78	0.78	1.56	1.56	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.39	0.39
<i>C. perfringens</i> WAL 3503	0.10	1.56	0.05	3.13	0.78	3.13	0.05	3.13	0.78	1.56
<i>C. perfringens</i> ATCC 3624	0.05	25	≤0.025	100	≤0.025	100	≤0.025	50	0.39	6.25
<i>C. sporogenes</i> ATCC 3584	0.20	0.78	0.78	3.13	1.56	6.25	50	>100	0.39	0.39
<i>C. histolyticum</i> ATCC 19401	0.20	0.20	0.39	0.78	3.13	3.13	25	25	0.78	0.78
<i>C. novyi</i> ATCC 19402	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.78	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.10
<i>C. ramosum</i> ATCC 25582	1.56	3.13	6.25	6.25	0.20	0.20	6.25	6.25	3.13	6.25
<i>C. tertium</i> ATCC 19405	0.78	0.78	3.13	3.13	3.13	12.5	100	>100	0.78	0.78
<i>C. innocuum</i> ATCC 14501	>100	>100	100	>100	6.25	12.5	12.5	25	50	50
<i>C. clostridiiforme</i> ATCC 25537	≤0.025	0.39	≤0.025	1.56	≤0.025	1.56	1.56	6.25	1.56	6.25

\* Inoculum; cfu/ml.

Abbreviation: *P*, *Peptostreptococcus*; *S*, *Streptococcus*; *E*, *Eubacterium*; *P\**, *Propionibacterium*; *C*, *Clostridium*.

Table 2. The activity of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics against reference strains of anaerobes. 2.

Organism	CMNX		LMOX		CMX		CZX		CFX	
	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>8</sup>
<i>B. fragilis</i> ATCC 25285	0.78	0.78	0.39	0.78	3.13	3.13	0.78	6.25	3.13	6.25
<i>B. fragilis</i> GM 7000	0.78	0.78	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	3.13	6.25	3.13	6.25
<i>B. vulgatus</i> ATCC 29327	0.39	0.39	0.10	0.20	0.78	3.13	≤0.025	0.78	0.78	1.56
<i>B. vulgatus</i> ATCC 8482	1.56	3.13	1.56	3.13	6.25	50	0.20	6.25	6.25	6.25
<i>B. distasonis</i> GM 7007	1.56	1.56	0.78	6.25	3.13	12.5	0.78	6.25	12.5	12.5
<i>B. distasonis</i> ATCC 8503	0.20	0.39	1.56	1.56	0.20	0.78	0.10	0.39	0.78	1.56
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> ATCC 29741	3.13	3.13	3.13	6.25	12.5	50	1.56	6.25	6.25	12.5
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> WAL 2926	3.13	6.25	3.13	6.25	25	50	1.56	12.5	12.5	25
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> WAL 3304	3.13	6.25	3.13	6.25	25	100	3.13	50	6.25	25
<i>B. ovatus</i> ATCC 8483	6.25	12.5	6.25	50	25	100	6.25	25	6.25	25
<i>B. uniformis</i> ATCC 8492	0.39	0.39	0.05	0.78	3.13	6.25	3.13	6.25	0.78	0.78
<i>B. capillosus</i> ATCC 29799	≤0.025	3.13	≤0.025	3.13	≤0.025	3.13	≤0.025	6.25	3.13	12.5
<i>B. asaccharolyticus</i> ATCC 25260	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.39	≤0.025	0.20	≤0.025	0.78	0.20	0.39
<i>B. asaccharolyticus</i> GAI 0415	≤0.025	0.10	≤0.025	0.20	≤0.025	0.05	≤0.025	0.05	≤0.025	0.20
<i>B. asaccharolyticus</i> GAI 0414	0.39	0.39	≤0.025	0.78	≤0.025	0.10	≤0.025	0.20	0.20	0.39
<i>B. melaninogenicus</i> JKI 8	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.05	0.10	≤0.025	0.10	0.20	0.39
<i>B. melaninogenicus</i> GAI 0411	0.39	1.56	1.56	1.56	≤0.025	1.56	0.39	3.13	1.56	3.13
<i>F. nucleatum</i> ATCC 10953	0.10	0.10	0.39	0.39	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.39	0.20	0.20
<i>F. nucleatum</i> F 1	0.10	0.10	0.39	1.56	0.05	0.10	≤0.025	0.39	0.10	0.39
<i>F. nucleatum</i> Fev. 1	0.10	0.10	0.39	0.39	≤0.025	0.10	0.10	0.39	0.05	0.20
<i>F. nucleatum</i> ATCC 25586	0.10	0.78	0.39	0.78	≤0.025	0.39	0.05	0.39	0.20	0.39
<i>F. varium</i> ATCC 8501	0.78	1.56	6.25	12.5	3.13	12.5	3.13	25	3.13	6.25
<i>F. mortiferum</i> VPI 4249	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	0.39	100	1.56	100	1.56	6.25
<i>F. mortiferum</i> VPI 5696	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	0.39	50	12.5	>100	0.78	1.56
<i>F. naviforme</i> VPI 4877	≤0.025	0.10	0.10	0.20	≤0.025	≤0.025	≤0.025	≤0.025	≤0.025	0.05
<i>F. gonidiaformans</i> VPI 0482A	≤0.025	0.10	≤0.025	0.20	≤0.025	≤0.025	≤0.025	≤0.025	≤0.025	0.10
<i>V. parvula</i> ATCC 10790	0.20	0.39	0.78	3.13	0.39	0.39	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.39

Inoculum; cfu/ml.

Abbreviation: *B*, *Bacteroides*; *F*, *Fusobacterium*; *V*, *Veillonella*.

Table 3. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 27 isolates of *Bacteroides fragilis*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^8$						16	8	3						
	$10^9$						16	7	4						
LMOX	$10^6$				1	7	14		5						
	$10^8$					3	16	3		5					
CFX	$10^6$								1	17	9				
	$10^8$								1	13	12	1			
CZX	$10^6$					5	11	6			5				
	$10^8$								2	4	2	7	7	1	4
CMX	$10^6$							4	18			1	4		
	$10^8$									2	3	12	5		5

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

Table 4. MIC distribution of CMNX and the other cephem antibiotics to 9 isolates of *Bacteroides melaninogenicus*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^6$			3	3	1	1				1				
	$10^8$			3	1	3		1				1			
LMOX	$10^6$		6	1	1				1						
	$10^8$			4	2	1		1		1					
CFX	$10^6$		2		4	1	1	1							
	$10^8$			1	1	5		1	1						
CZX	$10^6$	6	2				1								
	$10^8$	4	2		2					1					
CMX	$10^6$	6	1	1						1					
	$10^8$	5		1	1	1					1				

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

Table 5. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 14 isolates of *Veillonella parvula*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^6$			1	5	5		2	1						
	$10^5$				3	6	1	2	2						
LMOX	$10^6$			1			2	2	4	2	2	1			
	$10^5$				1			2	2	5	3		1		
CFX	$10^6$			1	2	2	5	2	1	1				1	
	$10^5$			1		3	2	5	1	2					
CZX	$10^6$		1		3	1		7	2						
	$10^5$			1	2		1	7	3						
CMX	$10^6$			1	2	3	5	1	2						
	$10^5$				1	3	2	6	1	1					

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

Table 6. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 10 isolates of *Peptostreptococcus magnus*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^6$				1		7	2							
	$10^5$						2	7	1						
LMOX	$10^6$				1	2	6	1							
	$10^5$						5	5							
CFX	$10^6$			1	9										
	$10^5$				5	5									
CZX	$10^6$		1		1	3	4	1							
	$10^5$						1	3	3	3					
CMX	$10^6$				1		4	5							
	$10^5$						1	4	5						

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

Table 7. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 12 isolates of *Peptostreptococcus asaccharolyticus*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^6$		1	5	6										
	$10^8$			1	11										
LMOX	$10^6$		7	4			1								
	$10^8$		2	8		1		1							
CFX	$10^6$	11	1												
	$10^8$	4	7			1									
CZX	$10^6$	11				1									
	$10^8$	11						1							
CMX	$10^6$	1	7	4											
	$10^8$		1	11											

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

Table 8. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 8 isolates of *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )												
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100
CMNX	$10^6$						1	4	3					
	$10^8$							5	3					
LMOX	$10^6$							1	6	1				
	$10^8$							1	6	1				
CFX	$10^6$				1	6	1							
	$10^8$				1	6	1							
CZX	$10^6$		4	3			1							
	$10^8$		2	5			1							
CMX	$10^6$				6	1	1							
	$10^8$				5	2	1							

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

Table 9. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 7 isolates of *Peptostreptococcus prevotii*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^8$	1	4		2										
	$10^9$		1	3	1	2									
LMOX	$10^8$	1	2	1	1	2									
	$10^9$		1	1	1	1	3								
CFX	$10^8$	3	2	2											
	$10^9$		4	3											
CZX	$10^8$	4	1		1	1									
	$10^9$	2	1	1	1		2								
CMX	$10^8$	4			2	1									
	$10^9$	1	2	2		2									

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

Table 10. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 16 isolates of *Clostridium difficile*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^8$								16						
	$10^9$								16						
LMOX	$10^8$											6	10		
	$10^9$													16	
CFX	$10^8$										1	4	11		
	$10^9$											1	15		
CZX	$10^8$											1			15
	$10^9$														16
CMX	$10^8$									1		11	4		
	$10^9$										1	15			

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).



Table 11. MIC distribution of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to 14 isolates of *Clostridium perfringens*.

	Is*	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )													
		0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.39	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	12.5	25	50	100	>100
CMNX	$10^8$			3	2	1	4	2	2						
	$10^9$				1	2	1	1	5			1	1		2
LMOX	$10^6$		1	1	6	2	1	3							
	$10^9$			1	1	2	1	3	2				2		2
CFX	$10^6$					4	3	4	1		2				
	$10^9$						3	3	2	4			1		1
CZX	$10^6$		1	1	2	2	1	1	4						2
	$10^9$				1	1	2	1	4	1	1				3
CMX	$10^6$	3		1		1	4	2	3						
	$10^9$			1		1	2	2	2	3					3

\* Is: Inoculum size (cfu/ml).

In the case of 14 strains of *Veillonella parvula*, the MIC values of CMNX were 0.10~3.13  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and 0.20~3.13  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml. The order of the susceptibility to CMNX and the other antibiotics was  $\text{CMX} > \text{CZX} > \text{CMNX} = \text{CFX} > \text{LMOX}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and  $\text{CMNX} = \text{CMX} > \text{CZX} = \text{CFX} > \text{LMOX}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

The MIC values of CMNX for 10 strains of *Peptostreptococcus magnus* ranged from 0.20 to 1.56  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and from 0.78 to 3.13  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml. The order of the susceptibility was  $\text{CFX} > \text{LMOX} = \text{CZX} > \text{CMNX} = \text{CMX}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml, while it was  $\text{CFX} > \text{CMNX} = \text{LMOX} > \text{CMX} > \text{CZX}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

The MIC ranges of CMNX for 12 strains of *P. asaccharolyticus* were 0.05~0.20  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and 0.10~0.20  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml. The order of the susceptibility was  $\text{CFX} = \text{CZX} > \text{LMOX} = \text{CMX} > \text{CMNX}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and  $\text{CZX} > \text{CFX} > \text{CMX} > \text{CMNX} > \text{LMOX}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

The MIC ranges of CMNX for 8 strains of *P. anaerobius* were 0.78~3.13  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and 1.56~3.13  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml. The order of the susceptibility was  $\text{CZX} > \text{CMX} > \text{CFX} > \text{CMNX} > \text{LMOX}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and  $\text{CZX} > \text{CMX} = \text{CFX} > \text{CMNX} > \text{LMOX}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

The MIC ranges of CMNX for 7 strains of *P. prevotii* were  $\leq 0.025$ ~0.20  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and 0.05~0.39  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml. The order of susceptibility was  $\text{CFX} > \text{CMNX} > \text{CZX} = \text{CMX} = \text{LMOX}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and  $\text{CFX} > \text{CMX} = \text{CMNX} > \text{CZX} = \text{LMOX}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

The MICs of CMNX for all 16 strains of *C. difficile* were 3.13  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at both  $10^6$  and  $10^8$  cfu/ml. Also, the order of the susceptibility was the same at both  $10^6$  and  $10^8$  cfu/ml, that is,  $\text{CMNX} > \text{CMX} > \text{LMOX} = \text{CFX} > \text{CZX}$ .

In the case of 14 strains of *C. perfringens*, the MIC values of CMNX ranged from 0.10 to 3.13  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml and from 0.20 to 100  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml. The order of the susceptibility was  $\text{LMOX} > \text{CMNX} = \text{CMX} > \text{CFX} > \text{CZX}$  at  $10^6$  cfu/ml, while it was  $\text{CFX} > \text{CMNX} = \text{LMOX} = \text{CZX} = \text{CMX}$  at  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

#### Comparison of MIC and MBC for Various Anaerobes

Table 12 compares the MIC and MBC values of CMNX, LMOX and CMX for 11 strains of 9 anaerobic species.

In the case of CMNX, the MIC values were exactly the same as the corresponding MBC values for 10 of the tested strains (excluding *Fusobacterium mortiferum* VPI 4249). On the other hand, in

Table 12. Correlations between MICs and MBCs of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ).

Organism	CMNX		LMOX		CMX	
	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
<i>B. fragilis</i> ATCC 25285	0.78	0.78	0.39	0.78	3.13	6.25
<i>B. fragilis</i> GM 7000	0.78	0.78	0.39	0.39	3.13	3.13
<i>B. fragilis</i> GAI 0511	0.78	0.78	0.39	0.78	12.5	25
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> ATCC 29741	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	12.5	50
<i>B. vulgatus</i> ATCC 29327	0.39	0.39	0.20	0.20	1.56	3.13
<i>B. distasonis</i> ATCC 8503	0.20	0.20	1.56	1.56	0.20	0.20
<i>F. varium</i> ATCC 8501	1.56	1.56	6.25	12.5	3.13	6.25
<i>F. mortiferum</i> VPI 4249	0.78	1.56	3.13	6.25	3.13	25
<i>C. sordellii</i> ATCC 9714	0.78	0.78	1.56	3.13	0.20	0.20
<i>P. magnus</i> ATCC 29328	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39
<i>S. intermedius</i> ATCC 27335	6.25	6.25	6.25	12.5	0.20	0.20

Table 13. Stability of CMNX and other cephem antibiotics to  $\beta$ -lactamases from *Bacteroides fragilis* isolates.

Source of enzyme	Substrate profile (relative $V_{max}$ )						
	CMNX	CEZ	CPM	CPZ	CAZ	CTX	CFX
<i>B. fragilis</i> GAI 0763	<1	100	34	35	23	8	<1
<i>B. fragilis</i> GAI 0511	<1	100	44	25	32	18	<1
<i>B. fragilis</i> GAI 0556	<1	100	54	32	22	11	<1
<i>B. fragilis</i> GAI 0548	<1	100	65	43	24	13	<1
<i>B. fragilis</i> GAI 0830	<1	100	38	24	15	7	<1

the case of LMOX and CMX, the MBC values were 2 or more times larger than the corresponding MIC values in 6 of the 11 strains.

#### Stability of CMNX to $\beta$ -Lactamase of *B. fragilis*

The stability of CMNX to the  $\beta$ -lactamases obtained from 5 strains of *B. fragilis* was compared with the stabilities of CEZ, CPM, CPZ, CAZ, CTX and CFX. The rate of hydrolysis of CEZ by each  $\beta$ -lactamase preparation was defined as 100. The results are presented in Table 13.

As was the case with CFX, CMNX underwent almost no hydrolysis by the  $\beta$ -lactamase preparations obtained from *B. fragilis*.

### Discussion

Cefminox (CMNX), a newly-developed antibiotic of the cephamycin group, was studied *in vitro* for its antibacterial activity against anaerobes in comparison with CFX, LMOX, CZX and CMX.

The antibacterial activity of CMNX against *B. fragilis*, which is frequently isolated from clinical specimens, was somewhat inferior to that of LMOX but superior to CFX. Especially, in comparison with the fact that CFX demonstrated lower MICs than CZX and CMX at a starting cell density of  $10^8$  cfu/ml but higher MICs than CZX at  $10^6$  cfu/ml, CMNX demonstrated lower MICs than CZX and CMX at both  $10^6$  and  $10^8$  cfu/ml.

The antibacterial activity of CMNX against *C. difficile*, which is known as a causative bacterium of diarrhoea and of pseudomembranous colitis occurring in connection with chemotherapy, was better than the activity of any of the other tested antibiotics, and an MIC of 3.13  $\mu$ g/ml was obtained for each of the tested strains. Compared with CFX, CMNX's antibacterial activity tended to be better against *B. fragilis* and *C. difficile*, but poorer against anaerobic cocci (*P. magnus*, *P. asaccharolyticus*, *P. anaerobius* and *P. prevotii*).

Compared with LMOX, the antibacterial activity of CMNX tended to be inferior against *B. fragilis*, *B. melaninogenicus*, *P. magnus* and *P. asaccharolyticus*, but superior against *V. parvula*, *P. anaerobius* and *P. prevotii*.

The MIC values of CMNX for the anaerobes were exactly the same as its MBC values in 10 of the 11 strains tested, and thus CMNX exerted a complete bactericidal effect at its minimum inhibitory concentrations.

In addition, CMNX as well as CFX was found to be very stable to the action of the  $\beta$ -lactamases produced by *B. fragilis*.

On the basis of the above results, CMNX can be considered to have a high potential as a therapeutic agent for the treatment of anaerobic infections, especially those in which *B. fragilis* is involved.

### References

- 1) OKAMOTO, R.; M. INOUE, S. IYOBE & S. MITSUHASHI: *In vitro* and *in vivo* antibacterial activity of MT-141. Chemotherapy 32(S-5): 1~10, 1984 (in Japanese)

- 2) GOTO, S.; A. TSUJI, M. OGAWA, Y. KANEKO, S. MIYAZAKI & S. KUWAHARA: Fundamental studies of MT-141, a new cephamycin. *Chemotherapy* 32(S-5): 17~33, 1984 (in Japanese)
- 3) The Investigation Committee of MIC Determination for Anaerobes, Japan Society of Chemotherapy: A method for determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) for anaerobes. *Chemotherapy* 27: 559~560, 1979 (in Japanese)
- 4) SAWAI, T. & I. TAKAHASHI: A determination method for beta-lactamase activity and its application. *Protein, Nucleic Acid and Enzyme* 23: 391~400, 1978 (in Japanese)